

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,216	01/16/2002	Bert Peeters	111353	4400	
27074 75	90 02/24/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.			ROHWER, JACOB P		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2624	2624	
			D. TT		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/046,216	PEETERS, BERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jacob P. Rohwer	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 Fel	b 2006.				
	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13-15, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Publication No 2003/0074312 to White.

Regarding claim 1, White discloses a method of performing a billing process for the copies or prints produced by a customer of a printing system (Fig 1), the printing system comprising a memory (Fig 1 #10) storing product usage data indicating a measurement of the actual use of the printing system, the method comprising:

- a) in the printing system, accessing the memory to retrieve said product usage data; (Fig 3 #300, Para [0027] Lin 1-5 discloses retrieving account balance.

  However Para [0025] Lin 8-9 discloses how the billing manager determines what a specific user printed at a particular time. It is inherent that within the printing device there is some memory or buffer to temporarily store the product usage of the terminal device.)
- b) in the printing system, calculating billing data using the retrieved product usage data; (Fig 2 #160, Para [0024] Lin 3-5)

Art Unit: 2624

c) in the printing system, presenting a bill to the customer based on said billing data; (Fig 2 #160, Para [0024] Lin 5-11])

- d) in the printing system, receiving authorization information indicating whether the customer authorizes the billing data; (Fig 2 #170 Para [0024] Lin 9-15) and
- e) if said authorization information indicates that the customer has authorized the billing data, sending a message from the printing system to a billing service, the message including information indicating the authorized billing data. (Fig 2 #200, Para [0025] Lin 5-7)

Regarding claim 2, White further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of receiving authorization information comprises receiving user input from the customer. (Fig 2 #170 Para [0024] Lin 9-15)

Regarding claim 3, White further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of receiving authorization information further comprises in response to an absence of user input, generating default authorization input within the printing system. (Para [0024] Lin 17-18 specifically discloses that if the user does not accept the charges, then access to the print system is denied.)

Regarding claim 4, White further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said product usage data indicating a measurement of the actual use of the printing system is a count value (Para [0026] Lin 1-2, a measured "token" value is determined based on the printing operation and number of copies) indicating the number of copies or prints (Para [0025] Lin 9 discloses calculating a price from a number of sheets)

Art Unit: 2624

produced by the customer of the printing system in a given period of time. (Para [0029] Lin 2-4)

Regarding claim 6, White further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of calculating the billing data is initiated by the customer. (Fig 2 #140, discloses the customer sends a print request, and as a response the billing information is displayed to the user, see rejection of claim 1)

Regarding claim 8, White further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said message is sent from the printing system to the billing service via the Internet. (Para [0018] Lin 5-6)

Regarding claim 9, White further discloses the method of claim 8, wherein said message is an electronic mail message. (Para [0020] Lin 12 discloses wireless access to a network. It is inherently known in the art that messages or notifications, such as the displaying of billing information, sent through a wireless network are electronic message.)

Regarding claim 10, White further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said message includes electronic banking data authorizing the billing service to debit from the customer's account. (Fig 4 #430, Para [0029] Lin 26-27)

Regarding claim 11, White further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:

a) in the printing system, receiving user input from the customer indicating a password, (Fig 2 #120, Para [0021] Lin 11 discloses a digital signature)

Art Unit: 2624

b) wherein the step of sending said message from the printing system to a billing service is performed only if the correct password has been entered by the customer.

(Fig 3 #130)

Regarding claim 13, please see rejection of claim 1. Furthermore, the method of claim 1 is performed by the system of claim 13. Additionally, it is inherent that a buffer or temporary memory storing product usage data is included in the printing device (see claim 1 rejection), a billing control unit for accessing the memory (Fig 2 #4), a user interface (Fig 1 #14, Para [0016] Lin 3-5), and a message-sending unit. (Fig 1 #4, Para [0025] Lin 5-8 discloses sending billing information from the billing manager to the billing software.)

Regarding claim 14, White further discloses the printing system of claim 13, wherein the user interface message delivery subsystem comprises a user interface unit integrated with the printing system. (Para [0016] discloses devices as mobile access units that are all known to inherently have a user interface unit that allows for user interaction.

Regarding claim 15, which is dependent upon claim 14, please see rejections of claims 4 and 13 above. Furthermore, the method of claim 4 is performed by the system of claim 15.

Regarding claim 18, which is dependent upon claim 13, please see rejections of claims 8 and 13 above. Furthermore, the method of claim 8 is performed by the system of claim 18. (Para [0020] Lin 14)

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 19, which is dependent upon claim 18, White further discloses the said circuitry includes a LAN interface unit. (Para [0020] Lin 14)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White as specified in claims 1 and 13 above, in view of US Patent Application Publication No 2002/0069168 to Lee et al.

Regarding claim 5. White discloses the method of claim 4.

White does not expressly disclose the method of claim 4, receiving user input from the customer indicating the said period of time.

However, Lee discloses a business method where a user defines a billing period for accessing billing information in regard to a specified account. (Para [0029] Lin 11-13)

The Lee and White Publications are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor relating to billing customers.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the user defined billing period as specified in the Lee Publication in order to calculate billing data as specified in the White Publication.

Art Unit: 2624

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to allow the user to effectively control an account budget in order to accommodate personal convenience or financial situations.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the Lee and White Publications in order to obtain the invention as specified in claim 5.

Regarding claim 16, which is dependent upon claim 15, please see rejections of claims 5 and 15 above. Furthermore, the method of claim 5 is performed by the system of claim 16. Additionally, Lee discloses a user interface unit through which the user is able to access the billing information and enter the period of time. (Fig 1 Personal Computer and Internet)

Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White as specified in claims 1 and 13 above, in view of White.

Regarding claim 7, White discloses the method of claim 1.

White does not expressly disclose the method of claim 1 wherein said message is sent from the printing system to the billing service via a phone line.

However, White discloses communication between billing system manager and billing system software occurs through the Internet. (Para [0025] Lin 11-13)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a phone line in order to send the message from the printing system to the billing service. Furthermore, it is very common that Internet connections are made through phone lines.

Art Unit: 2624

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to allow the printing device to conveniently and quickly access the billing service through the Internet at a location that currently has a phone line installed.

Regarding claim 17, which is dependent upon claim 13, please see rejections of claims 7 and 13 above. Furthermore, the method of claim 7 is performed by the system of claim 13.

Claims 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White as specified in claims 1 and 13 above, in view of US Patent Application Publication No 2003/0149661 to Mitchell et al.

Regarding claim 12, White discloses the method of claim 1,

b) wherein the step of sending said message from the printing system to the billing service is performed only if the authentication means has revealed that the billing data is authorized by the correct person.

White does not expressly disclose the method of claim 1 further comprising:

a) in the printing system, performing a fingerprint identification as the authenticating means to ensure that the billing data is authorized by the correct person.

However, Mitchell discloses a method for authenticating financial transactions using a biological identifier such as a fingerprint. (Fig 2)

The Mitchell and White Publications are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor relating to authenticating customers in order to secure financial transactions.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the user the finger print identification as specified in the Mitchell Publication in order to authenticate the customer as specified in the White Publication.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a complete biological identification as an improvement to a password or PIN, which are both capable of being misused or stolen. (Mitchell Para [0003] and [0004])

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the Mitchell and White Publications in order to obtain the invention as specified in claim 12.

Regarding claim 20, which is dependent upon claim 13, please see rejections of claims 12 and 13 above. Furthermore, the method of claim 12 is performed by the system of claim 20. Mitchell discloses a finger print identification unit in **Fig 1**.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on the 3 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has noted the disclosure in the specification of the current application that a "printing system" is defined to include devices *such as* electronic reprographic systems, copying machines or electronic printing machines. However the language disclosed in the specification *(such as)* is interpreted to allow for other definitions of the "printing system" claimed. Although, White does not disclose an electronic reprographic system, copying machine or electronic printing machine, he does disclose a system (Fig 1) that prints (Fig 1 #12), as specified in the current submitted claims. As a result, the original rejection stands.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/046,216 Page 10

Art Unit: 2624

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob P. Rohwer whose telephone number is 571-272-5509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on 571-272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,216 Page 11

Art Unit: 2624

2/17/06

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600